

AN INTEGRAL FORM OF THE QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRA OF sl_2 AND ITS COMPLETIONS

KAZUO HABIRO

ABSTRACT. We introduce an integral form \mathcal{U} of the quantized enveloping algebra of sl_2 . The algebra \mathcal{U} is just large enough so that the quasi- R -matrix is contained in a completion of $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$. We study several completions of the algebra \mathcal{U} , and determine their centers. This study is motivated by a study of integrality properties of the quantum sl_2 invariants of links and integral homology spheres.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to introduce an integral form of the quantized enveloping algebra $U_v(sl_2)$ of the Lie algebra sl_2 , and some completions of it. The motivation of this paper is a study of integrality properties of the colored Jones polynomials [12] of links and the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant [15, 13] of 3-manifolds, which we announced in [2].

Let $U = U_v(sl_2)$ denote the quantized enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra sl_2 , which is defined to be the algebra over the rational function field $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , E , and F , subject to the relations $KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1$ and

$$KE = v^2EK, \quad KF = v^{-2}FK, \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring, and set for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$

$$[i] = \frac{v^i - v^{-i}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [n]! = [1][2] \cdots [n].$$

There are at least two well-known interesting $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -forms of U , $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} . $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined to be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ of U generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , and the divided powers $E^{(n)} = E^n/[n]!$ and $F^{(n)} = F^n/[n]!$ for $n \geq 1$. \overline{U} is defined to be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra $\overline{U} \subset U_{\mathcal{A}}$ of U , which is generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , $e = (v - v^{-1})E$, and $f = (v - v^{-1})F$. See [9, 1] for the details of these two $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -forms. (Both $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} are defined for finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras, or more generally for Kac-Moody Lie algebras.) These algebras inherit Hopf \mathcal{A} -algebra structures from a Hopf algebra structure of U .

Date: May 12, 2006 (First version: October 31, 2002).

Key words and phrases. quantized enveloping algebra, integral form, completion, center, colored Jones polynomial, Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant.

Partially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), 16740033.

Let Θ denote the quasi- R -matrix of U

$$\Theta = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n v^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} (v - v^{-1})^n [n]! F^{(n)} \otimes E^{(n)}, \quad (1.1)$$

which is an element of a completion of $U \otimes U$. For the definition of the quasi- R -matrix, see [10, 7]. It is well-known that one can use Θ to obtain a left U -module isomorphism

$$b_{V,W}: V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \otimes V$$

for finite dimensional left U -modules V and W . For the category of finite dimensional left U -modules, the $b_{V,W}$ define a braided category structure, using which one can define the colored Jones polynomials of links.

One of the important properties of Θ is *integrality* in $U_{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., each term in the infinite sum (1.1) is contained in $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes 2}$, and hence Θ is contained in a certain completion of $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes 2}$. One of the important consequences of this integrality in quantum topology is that the colored Jones polynomials of links take values in a Laurent polynomial ring.

We introduce a yet another $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -form \mathcal{U} of U which is useful in the study of the quantum link invariants. This algebra may be regarded as a “mixed version” of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} .

Let \mathcal{U} be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U generated by the elements K, K^{-1}, e , and the $F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$. Obviously, $\overline{U} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset U_{\mathcal{A}}$. We can rewrite (1.1) as follows

$$\Theta = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n v^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} F^{(n)} \otimes e^n. \quad (1.2)$$

Observe that each term $(-1)^n v^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} F^{(n)} \otimes e^n$ is contained in $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}$. For each $n \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{U}_n^e = \mathcal{U} e^n \mathcal{U}$ be the two sided ideal in \mathcal{U} generated by the element e^n . Let $\check{\mathcal{U}}$ denote the completion

$$\check{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{U}_n^e,$$

which will turn out to inherit from \mathcal{U} a complete Hopf algebra structure over \mathcal{A} . The comultiplication $\check{\Delta}: \check{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{U}}$ takes values in the completed tensor product

$$\check{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_{k,l} (\check{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \check{\mathcal{U}}) / (\overline{\mathcal{U}_k^e} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \check{\mathcal{U}} + \check{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{U}_l^e}),$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{U}_n^e}$ is the closure of \mathcal{U}_n^e in $\check{\mathcal{U}}$. Then we can regard Θ as an element of $\check{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{U}}$. Unfortunately, the structure of $\check{\mathcal{U}}$ seems quite complicated. Therefore we also consider some other completions of \mathcal{U} , which have more controllable structures than $\check{\mathcal{U}}$.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, set

$$\{i\} = v^i - v^{-i}, \quad \{n\}! = \{1\} \cdots \{n\} = (v - v^{-1})^n [n]!$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the completions of \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A} / (\{n\}!), \quad \dot{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A} / ((v - v^{-1})^n).$$

Since $\{n\}!$ is divisible by $(v - v^{-1})^n$, there is a natural homomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{A}}$, which is injective (see Proposition 6.1). We regard $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \dot{\mathcal{A}}$. For each $n \geq 0$, let \mathcal{U}_n denote the two-sided ideal in \mathcal{U} generated by the elements

$$\{H + m\}_i e^{n-i} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq n),$$

where

$$\{H + m\}_i = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (v^{m-i} K - v^{-m+i} K^{-1}).$$

It turns out that the two-sided ideal \mathcal{U}_1 of \mathcal{U} is generated by the elements $v - v^{-1}$, $K - K^{-1}$, and e . We define the completions $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ of \mathcal{U} by

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}_n, \quad \dot{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/(\mathcal{U}_1)^n,$$

which will be shown to have complete Hopf algebra structures over $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively.

Let $U_h = U_h(sl_2)$ be the h -adic version of $U_v(sl_2)$, for the definition of which see Section 2. The algebra U_h contains $U_{\mathcal{A}}$, and hence $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ and \mathcal{U} . We will show that there is a sequence of natural homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow U_h,$$

where the two arrows $\hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow U_h$ are injective, and the arrow $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is conjectured to be injective. We set $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}}$. We have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}} \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}} \subset U_h.$$

We will determine the centers of \mathcal{U} , $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ as follows. Let C denote the well-known central element

$$C = (v - v^{-1})Fe + vK + v^{-1}K^{-1} \in Z(\mathcal{U}).$$

For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\sigma_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (C^2 - (v^i + v^{-i})^2).$$

Note that σ_n is a monic polynomial of degree n in C^2 .

Theorem 1.1. *The center $Z(\mathcal{U})$ of \mathcal{U} is freely generated as an \mathcal{A} -algebra by C , i.e., we have $Z(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{A}[C]$. The centers of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ are identified with completions of $\mathcal{A}[C]$ as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}[C]/(\sigma_n), & Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}}) &\simeq \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[C]/(\sigma_n), \\ Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) &\simeq \varprojlim_n \dot{\mathcal{A}}[C]/(\sigma_n) \simeq \varprojlim_n \dot{\mathcal{A}}[C]/((C^2 - [2]^2)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ (resp. $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$, $Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}})$) consists of the elements which are uniquely expressed as infinite sums

$$z = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n \sigma_n,$$

where $z_n \in \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}C$ (resp. $z_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}}C$, $z_n \in \dot{\mathcal{A}} + \dot{\mathcal{A}}C$) for $n \geq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into Theorems 9.2, 9.9, 9.13, 9.14 and 9.15 below.

The results of the present paper are used in [5] in which we prove integrality results of the sl_2 quantum invariants, announced in [2]. For a string knot L (i.e., a $(1, 1)$ -tangle consisting only of one string) with 0 framing, let $J_L \in Z(U_h)$ denote the *universal sl_2 invariant* of L ([8, 11], see also [2, 4]). This invariant has a universality property over the colored Jones polynomials of knots: The colored

Jones polynomial of the knot obtained by closing the two ends of L , associated to a finite-dimensional irreducible representation V of U_h , is obtained from J_L by taking its quantum trace in V . Using [4, Corollary 9.15], one can prove that J_L is contained in $Z(\mathcal{G}_0\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -form of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ defined in Section 11, where $q = v^2$. Using Theorem 11.2 below, which is a slight modification of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result. (The detailed proof can be found in [5].)

Theorem 1.2. *Let L be a string knot with 0 framing. Then there are unique elements $a_n(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ for $n \geq 0$ such that*

$$J_L = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(L) \sigma_n.$$

For consequences of Theorem 1.2, see [2]. In particular, using Theorem 1.2 and results in the present paper, we prove in [5] the existence of an invariant $I(M)$ of integral homology 3-spheres M with values in the ring

$$\varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] / ((1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^n))$$

which specializes at each root ζ of unity to the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of M at ζ .

In a joint work with Le [6], we will generalize part of this paper to the quantized enveloping algebras of finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras, and prove that the invariant $I(M)$ mentioned above generalizes to such Lie algebras.

The motivation of this work is, as explained above, from the study of quantum invariants. But the rest of the paper is purely algebraic. It is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the definitions and the necessary properties of the quantized enveloping algebras $U_v(sl_2)$ and $U_h(sl_2)$, as well as the integral forms $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} . We will describe in Section 3 the Hopf algebra structure of our integral form \mathcal{U} . In Section 4, we will introduce generalities on filtrations and completions of Hopf algebras. In Section 5, we will study the decreasing filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{U} , and the completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$. In Section 6, we will consider the \mathcal{U}_1 -adic filtration of \mathcal{U} , study the completion $\check{\mathcal{U}}$, and prove the injectivity of $\hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow U_h$. In Section 7, we study the completion $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ and the algebra \tilde{U} . Section 8 introduces $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -gradings on the algebra \mathcal{U} and the other algebras, which count “degrees in K ” in the sense that the grading for \mathcal{U} is as $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{G}_1\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{G}_1\mathcal{U} = K\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}$. We determine the centers of \mathcal{U} , $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\check{\mathcal{U}}$ in Sections 9 and 10 using the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Section 11 gives $\mathbb{Z}[v^2, v^{-2}]$ -forms of \mathcal{U} and its completions.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Thang Le, Gregor Masbaum, Hitoshi Murakami, and Tomotada Ohtsuki for helpful comments and discussions.

2. THE QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS $U_v(sl_2)$ AND $U_h(sl_2)$

In this section, we will recall the definitions and properties of the algebras $U_v(sl_2)$ and $U_h(sl_2)$, and fix notations.

2.1. Base rings and fields. Set $q = v^2$. We will also need the rational function field $\mathbb{Q}(q) \subset \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathcal{A}_q = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{A}$. We have $\mathcal{A}_q = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(q)$, and

$$\mathbb{Q}(v) = \mathbb{Q}(q) \oplus v\mathbb{Q}(q), \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_q \oplus v\mathcal{A}_q.$$

Let h be an indeterminate, and let $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ denote the formal power series ring. We will regard \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_q as subrings of $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ by setting

$$v = \exp \frac{h}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[[h]], \quad q = v^2 = \exp h \in \mathbb{Q}[[h]].$$

The quotient field $\mathbb{Q}((h))$ of $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ contains the fields $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ as subfields.

2.2. Algebra structures of U_h and U . The h -adic quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_h = U_h(sl_2)$ is defined to be the h -adically complete $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ -algebra, topologically generated by the elements H , E , and F , subject to the relations

$$HE = E(H + 2), \quad HF = F(H - 2), \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}},$$

where we set

$$K = v^H = \exp \frac{1}{2}hH.$$

The algebras U_h and U are compatible in the sense that they can naturally be regarded as subalgebras of the $\mathbb{Q}((h))$ -algebra $U_h \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[[h]]} \mathbb{Q}((h))$.

Let U_h^0 (resp. U_h^+ , U_h^-) denote the closure of the $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ -subalgebra of U_h generated by H (resp. E , F), and let U^0 (resp., U^+ , U^-) denote the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of U generated by $K^{\pm 1}$ (resp. E , F). We have the triangular decompositions

$$U_h \simeq U_h^- \widehat{\otimes}_h U_h^0 \widehat{\otimes}_h U_h^+, \quad U \simeq U^- \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} U^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} U^+,$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}_h$ denotes the h -adically completed tensor product over $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$.

The algebra U has a \mathbb{Z} -graded $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra structure with the degree determined by

$$\deg K^{\pm 1} = 0, \quad \deg E = 1, \quad \deg F = -1.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma_n U$ denote the degree n part of U . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n U &= \{x \in U \mid KxK^{-1} = v^{2n}x\} \\ &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \{F^i K^j E^k \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, i, k \geq 0, k - i = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar \mathbb{Z} -grading on U_h is defined and let $\Gamma_n U_h$ denote the degree n part of U_h . For a homogeneous element x in U or U_h , let $|x|$ denote the degree of x . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any homogeneous additive subgroup A of U (resp. U_h), we set

$$\Gamma_n A = \Gamma_n U \cap A \quad (\text{resp. } \Gamma_n U_h \cap A).$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *shift automorphism*

$$\gamma_j: U_h^0 \rightarrow U_h^0$$

is the unique continuous automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ -algebra satisfying $\gamma_j(H) = H + j$. Similarly, the automorphism

$$\gamma_j: U^0 \rightarrow U^0$$

is defined by $\gamma_j(K) = v^j K = v^{H+j}$. We will freely use the fact that for any homogeneous element x in U_h (resp. U) and any element t of U_h^0 (resp. U^0), we have $tx = x\gamma_{2|x|}(t)$.

We use the following notations. For $a \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}H$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{a\} &= v^a - v^{-a}, \\ \{a\}_n &= \{a\}\{a-1\}\cdots\{a-n+1\}, \\ \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right] &= \frac{\{a\}_n}{\{n\}!} = \frac{[a][a-1]\cdots[a-n+1]}{[n]!}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a = iH + j$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\{iH + j\} = v^j K^i - v^{-j} K^{-i}$.

2.3. Hopf algebra structures of U and U_h . The algebras U_h and U have compatible Hopf algebra structures with the comultiplication Δ , the counit ϵ and the antipode S defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H) &= H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, \quad \epsilon(H) = 0, \quad S(H) = -H, \\ \Delta(K) &= K \otimes K, \quad \epsilon(K) = 1, \quad S(K) = K^{-1}, \\ \Delta(E) &= E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, \quad \epsilon(E) = 0, \quad S(E) = -K^{-1}E, \\ \Delta(F) &= F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F, \quad \epsilon(F) = 0, \quad S(F) = -FK. \end{aligned}$$

Here U_h is an h -adic Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$, and U is an (ordinary) Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$.

2.4. The \mathcal{A} -forms $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} of U . In the introduction, we have defined the \mathcal{A} -subalgebras $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} of U . Note that they are also \mathcal{A} -subalgebras of U_h . For $\ast = 0, +, -$, set

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\ast} = U^{\ast} \cap U_{\mathcal{A}} = U_h^{\ast} \cap U_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \overline{U}^{\ast} = U^{\ast} \cap \overline{U} = U_h^{\ast} \cap \overline{U}.$$

It is known that

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^0 = \text{Span}_{\mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}]} \left\{ \left[\begin{matrix} H \\ n \end{matrix} \right] \mid n \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathcal{A}} \{E^{(n)} \mid n \geq 0\}, \quad U_{\mathcal{A}}^- = \text{Span}_{\mathcal{A}} \{F^{(n)} \mid n \geq 0\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U_{\mathcal{A}}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto xyz, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\overline{U}^0 = \mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}], \quad \overline{U}^+ = \mathcal{A}[e], \quad \overline{U}^- = \mathcal{A}[f], \quad (2.4)$$

$$\overline{U}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{U}^+ \xrightarrow{\simeq} \overline{U}, \quad x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto xyz. \quad (2.5)$$

It is also known that $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \overline{U} inherit Hopf \mathcal{A} -algebra structures from that of U .

3. THE \mathcal{A} -FORM \mathcal{U} OF U

3.1. The \mathcal{A} -form \mathcal{U} . Recall from the introduction that \mathcal{U} is the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , e , and the $F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$. In other words, \mathcal{U} is the smallest \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U containing $\overline{U}^0 \cup \overline{U}^+ \cup U_{\mathcal{A}}^-$. As remarked in the introduction, we have $\overline{U} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset U_{\mathcal{A}}$. For $\ast = 0, +, -$, set

$$\mathcal{U}^{\ast} = U^{\ast} \cap \mathcal{U} = U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\ast} \cap \mathcal{U},$$

which are \mathcal{A} -subalgebras of \mathcal{U} .

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{U}^0 = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0, \quad \mathcal{U}^+ = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+, \quad \mathcal{U}^- = U_{\mathcal{A}}^-.$$

We have the triangular decomposition

$$\mathcal{U}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}^+ \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{U}, \quad x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto xyz.$$

Hence \mathcal{U} is freely \mathcal{A} -spanned by the elements $F^{(m)}K^i e^n$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m, n \geq 0$.

Proof. By induction, we see that for $m, n \geq 0$

$$e^m F^{(n)} = \sum_{p=0}^{\min(m,n)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ p \end{bmatrix} F^{(n-p)} \{H - m - n + 2p\}_p e^{m-p}. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence $\overline{\mathcal{U}}^+ U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \subset U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$. We also have $\overline{\mathcal{U}}^+ \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 U_{\mathcal{A}}^- = U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0$. Then we can easily verify that $U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$ is an \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \mathcal{U} . Since $U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$ generates \mathcal{U} , we have $U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+ = \mathcal{U}$. Hence there is a surjective \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism $U_{\mathcal{A}}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, $x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto xyz$, which is injective in view of (2.3). Hence the assertions follow. \square

We do not need the following result in the rest of this paper, but we state it for completeness.

Proposition 3.2. *As an \mathcal{A} -algebra, \mathcal{U} has a presentation with generators K , K^{-1} , e , and the $F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$, and with the relations*

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \quad Ke = v^2 eK, \quad KF^{(n)} = v^{-2n} F^{(n)}K, \quad (3.2)$$

$$F^{(m)}F^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix} F^{(m+n)} \quad (m, n \geq 1), \quad (3.3)$$

$$eF^{(n)} = F^{(n)}e + F^{(n-1)}(v^{-n+1}K - v^{n-1}K^{-1}) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. (Sketch) We have the relations (3.2)–(3.4) in \mathcal{U} . We can prove using only these relations that \mathcal{U} is \mathcal{A} -spanned by the elements $F^{(i)}K^j e^k$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i, k \geq 0$. It follows that the \mathcal{A} -algebra \mathcal{U} is described by the generators and relations given above. \square

3.2. Hopf algebra structure of \mathcal{U} . We will use the following formulas ($m \geq 0$)

$$\Delta(e) = e \otimes 1 + K \otimes e, \quad \epsilon(e) = 0, \quad S(e) = -K^{-1}e, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Delta(e^m) = \sum_{i=0}^m v^{-i(m-i)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} K^i e^{m-i} \otimes e^i, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\epsilon(e^m) = \delta_{m,0}, \quad S(e^m) = (-1)^m v^{m(m-1)} K^{-m} e^m, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Delta(F^{(m)}) = \sum_{i=0}^m v^{i(m-i)} F^{(m-i)} \otimes F^{(i)} K^{-m+i}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\epsilon(F^{(m)}) = \delta_{m,0}, \quad S(F^{(m)}) = (-1)^m v^{-m(m-1)} F^{(m)} K^m. \quad (3.9)$$

Set $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}^+ = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$, which is an \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \mathcal{U} .

Proposition 3.3. *The \mathcal{A} -algebra \mathcal{U} is a Hopf \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$. The \mathcal{A} -subalgebras \mathcal{U}^0 and $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ of \mathcal{U} are Hopf \mathcal{A} -subalgebras of \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. It is well-known that $\mathcal{U}^0 = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$ are Hopf \mathcal{A} -subalgebras of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Therefore the first assertion implies the second. By (3.8) and (3.9),

$$\Delta(\mathcal{U}^-) \subset \mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}^0, \quad \epsilon(\mathcal{U}^-) \subset \mathcal{A}, \quad S(\mathcal{U}^-) \subset \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}^0.$$

Using the triangular decomposition, we can easily check that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}, \quad \epsilon(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{A}, \quad S(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U},$$

which implies the first assertion. The second assertion follows since $\mathcal{U}^0 = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \overline{\mathcal{U}}^0 \overline{\mathcal{U}}^+$ are Hopf \mathcal{A} -subalgebras of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. \square

As one can easily see, $\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0} = \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}^0$ also is a Hopf \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \mathcal{U} , but we will not need this fact.

4. HOPF ALGEBRA FILTRATIONS

In this subsection, we fix terminology on the filtrations and linear topology for Hopf algebras. The contents of this section should be well known, but we include it in the paper since we could not find a suitable reference.

Let R be a commutative ring with unit, and let

$$R = R_0 \supset R_1 \supset \cdots \supset R_n \supset \cdots \quad (4.1)$$

be a decreasing filtration of ideals in R . (Here we do *not* assume that $R_m R_n \subset R_{m+n}$.) The filtration $\{R_n\}_n$ defines a linear topology of R , and the completion $\hat{R} = \varprojlim_n R/R_n$ is a commutative R -algebra. If $R_1 = 0$, then $\{R_n\}_n$ is said to be *discrete*, and we identify \hat{R} with R .

Let $H = (H, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ be a Hopf R -algebra with comultiplication Δ , counit ϵ , and antipode S . Suppose for simplicity that H is a free R -module. A *Hopf algebra filtration* of H with respect to a decreasing filtration $\{R_n\}_n$ of two-sided ideals in R will mean a decreasing family of two-sided ideals in H

$$H = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset \cdots \supset H_n \supset \cdots \quad (4.2)$$

such that

$$R_n \subset H_n, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Delta(H_n) \subset \sum_{i+j=n} H_i \otimes_R H_j, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\epsilon(H_n) \subset R_n, \quad (4.5)$$

$$S(H_n) \subset H_n, \quad (4.6)$$

for each $n \geq 0$. (Note that we do *not* assume $H_m H_n \subset H_{m+n}$, $m, n \geq 0$.) If the filtration $\{R_n\}_n$ is discrete, then $\{H_n\}_n$ is called a Hopf algebra filtration over R . The completion $\hat{H} = \varprojlim_n H/H_n$ is a complete Hopf algebra over \hat{R} with the structure morphisms

$$\hat{\Delta}: \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H} \hat{\otimes} \hat{H}, \quad \hat{\epsilon}: \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{R}, \quad \hat{S}: \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H}$$

induced by those of H . Here $\hat{H} \hat{\otimes} \hat{H}$ is the completed tensor product of two copies of \hat{H} defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} \hat{\otimes} \hat{H} &= \varprojlim_{k,l} (\hat{H} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \hat{H}) / (\bar{H}_k \otimes_{\hat{R}} \hat{H} + \hat{H} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \bar{H}_l) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{k,l} (H \otimes_R H) / (H_k \otimes_R H + H \otimes_R H_l),\end{aligned}$$

where \bar{H}_k is the closure of H_k in \hat{H} .

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R . Let I be an ideal in R . A two-sided ideal J in H is called a *Hopf ideal with respect to I* if

$$I \subset J, \quad \Delta(J) \subset J \otimes_R H + H \otimes_R J, \quad S(J) \subset J, \quad \epsilon(J) \subset I.$$

In this case, the J -adic filtration $\{J^n\}_n$ of H is a Hopf algebra filtration with respect to $\{I^n\}_n$, and hence the completion $\varprojlim_n H/J^n$ is a complete Hopf algebra over $\hat{R} = \varprojlim_n R/I^n$. Note that a Hopf ideal with respect to (0) is just a Hopf ideal in the usual sense.

The filtration (4.1) of R will be called *fine* if

$$R_{m+n} \subset R_m R_n \quad (m, n \geq 0).$$

(Note the direction of the inclusion.) The Hopf algebra filtration (4.2) will be called *fine* if

$$H_{m+n} \subset H_m H_n \quad (m, n \geq 0). \quad (4.7)$$

Suppose that $\{R_n\}_n$ is a fine filtration of a commutative ring R with unit, and $\{H_n\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration of a Hopf algebra H with respect to $\{R_n\}_n$. Then the two-sided ideal H_1 in H is a Hopf ideal with respect to R_1 . Moreover, for each $n \geq 0$,

$$R_n \subset R_1^n, \quad H_n \subset H_1^n.$$

Hence id_R induces a continuous ring homomorphism

$$\varprojlim_n R/R_n \rightarrow \varprojlim_n R/R_1^n,$$

and id_H induces a continuous $(\varprojlim_n R/R_n)$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\varprojlim_n H/H_n \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H/H_1^n.$$

5. THE FILTRATION $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ OF \mathcal{U} AND THE COMPLETION $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$

5.1. The filtration $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{A} and the completion $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned}(q)_n &= (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n) = (-1)^n v^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \{n\}!, \\ \mathcal{A}_n &= (q)_n \mathcal{A} = \{n\}! \mathcal{A}, \quad (\mathcal{A}_q)_n = (q)_n \mathcal{A}_q = \mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_q.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{m+n\}! = \{m\}! \{n\}! \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{A}_n$ for $m, n \geq 0$, the filtrations $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$ and $\{(\mathcal{A}_q)_n\}_n$ are fine. Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_n, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}_q = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_q/(\mathcal{A}_q)_n.$$

Note that the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the same as in the introduction. The $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -grading $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_q \oplus v\mathcal{A}_q$ of \mathcal{A} induces the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -grading $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_q \oplus v\hat{\mathcal{A}}_q$ of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. The ring $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_q$ is studied in [3], where it was denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[q]^\mathbb{N}$.

5.2. The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n^0\}_n$ of \mathcal{U}^0 and the completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$. For each $n \geq 0$, let \mathcal{U}_n^0 denote the two-sided ideal in \mathcal{U}^0 generated by the elements $\{H+m\}_n$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\gamma_j(\{H+m\}_n) = \{H+m+j\}_n$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the ideal \mathcal{U}_n^0 of \mathcal{U}^0 is preserved by γ_j , i.e.,

$$\gamma_j(\mathcal{U}_n^0) = \mathcal{U}_n^0. \quad (5.1)$$

Hence, for any homogeneous \mathcal{A} -submodule M of \mathcal{U} , we have $M\mathcal{U}_n^0 = \mathcal{U}_n^0 M$, which we will freely use in what follows. Another consequence of (5.1) is that $\gamma_j: \mathcal{U}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^0$ induces a continuous $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra automorphism

$$\gamma_j: \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}^0 = \mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}]$ is Noetherian, each ideal \mathcal{U}_n^0 is finitely generated. In fact, the following holds.

Proposition 5.1. *For each $n \geq 0$, the ideal \mathcal{U}_n^0 in \mathcal{U}^0 is generated by the elements*

$$\{n\}_i \{H\}_{n-i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, for each $n \geq 0$ the ideal \mathcal{U}_n^0 contains the element $\{n\}!$, and hence we have $\{n\}!\mathcal{U}^0 \subset \mathcal{U}_n^0$.

Proof. Write $c_{n,i} = \{n\}_i \{H\}_{n-i}$, and set $(\mathcal{U}_n^0)' = (c_{n,0}, c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,n})$. We will show $\mathcal{U}_n^0 = (\mathcal{U}_n^0)'$. One can prove by induction that

$$\{H+m\}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n v^{(n-i)m} K^{-i} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} c_{n,i} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0). \quad (5.2)$$

Hence $\mathcal{U}_n^0 \subset (\mathcal{U}_n^0)'$. To prove the other inclusion $(\mathcal{U}_n^0)' \subset \mathcal{U}_n^0$, we will see that $c_{n,p} \in \mathcal{U}_n^0$ for $p = 0, \dots, n$ by induction on p , the case $p = 0$ being trivial. If $0 < p \leq n$, then by (5.2) we have $c_{n,p} \in \{H+p\}_n + (c_{n,0}, \dots, c_{n,p-1})$, which is contained in \mathcal{U}_n^0 by the induction hypothesis. \square

Proposition 5.2. *The family $\{\mathcal{U}_n^0\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration of \mathcal{U}^0 with respect to $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$.*

Proof. We will verify the conditions (4.3)–(4.7) for $H_n = \mathcal{U}_n^0$ and $R_n = \mathcal{A}_n$. Proposition 5.1 implies (4.3). One can verify by induction that

$$\Delta(\{H+m\}_n) = \sum_{i+j=n} v^{-im} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} K^{-i} \{H+m\}_j \otimes K^j \{H\}_i,$$

which implies (4.4). Since $\epsilon(\{H+m\}_n) = \{m\}_n = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \{n\}! \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have (4.5).

Since $S(\{H+m\}_n) = \{-H+m\}_n = (-1)^n \{H-m+n-1\}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n^0$, we have (4.6). Since $\{H+m\}_{n+n'} = \{H+m\}_n \{H+m-n\}_{n'}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n, n' \geq 0$, we have (4.7). \square

Let $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ denote the completion

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^0 / \mathcal{U}_n^0,$$

which is a complete Hopf $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra.

5.3. A double filtration of \mathcal{U}^0 cofinal to $\{\mathcal{U}_n^0\}_n$. For $k, l \geq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0 = (\{k\}!, \{H\}_l) \subset \mathcal{U}^0.$$

The family $\{\mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0\}_{k,l \geq 0}$ forms a decreasing double filtration of \mathcal{U} , i.e., $\mathcal{U}_{k',l'}^0 \subset \mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0$ if $0 \leq k \leq k'$ and $0 \leq l \leq l'$.

Proposition 5.3. *The double filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0\}_{k,l \geq 0}$ is cofinal to $\{\mathcal{U}_n^0\}_n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, we have $\mathcal{U}_{n,n}^0 = (\{n\}!, \{H\}_n) \subset \mathcal{U}_n^0$ for $n \geq 0$, and $\mathcal{U}_{2n-1}^0 \subset (\{n\}!, \{H\}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{n,n}^0$ for $n \geq 1$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{U}_n^0\}_n$ is cofinal to $\{\mathcal{U}_{n,n}^0\}_n$, and hence to $\{\mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0\}_{k,l \geq 0}$. \square

For $l \geq 0$, set

$$\{H\}'_l = (K^2 - 1)(K^2 - q) \cdots (K^2 - q^{l-1}) = v^{l(l-1)/2} K^l \{H\}_l \in \mathcal{A}_q[K^2, K^{-2}].$$

Proposition 5.4. *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_l (\hat{\mathcal{A}}[K] / (\{H\}'_l)) \simeq \varprojlim_l (\hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}] / (\{H\}_l)). \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3,

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_{k,l} \mathcal{U}^0 / \mathcal{U}_{k,l}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_l (\varprojlim_k \mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}] / (\{k\}!, \{H\}'_l)).$$

Note that $\{H\}'_l \in \mathcal{A}_q[K^2] \subset \mathcal{A}[K]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2l$ in K with coefficients in \mathcal{A} , and the degree 0 coefficient of $\{H\}'_l$ is a unit in \mathcal{A} . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_k \mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}] / (\{k\}!, \{H\}'_l) &\simeq \varprojlim_k \mathcal{A}[K] / (\{k\}!, \{H\}'_l) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_k ((\mathcal{A} / (\{k\}!)) [K] / (\{H\}'_l)) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K] / (\{H\}'_l). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_l \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K] / (\{H\}'_l)$. The second isomorphism in (5.3) is obvious from the above argument. \square

Proposition 5.4 implies the following.

Corollary 5.5. *Each element $t \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \{H\}'_n$, where $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}}K$ for $n \geq 0$.*

5.4. **The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\}_n$ of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ and the completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$.** For $n \geq 0$, let \mathcal{U}_n^+ denote the principal ideal in $\mathcal{U}_n^+ = \mathcal{A}[e]$ generated by the element e^n , and set

$$\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0} = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathcal{U}_j^+ \mathcal{U}_i^0 \subset \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}.$$

Using $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \mathcal{U}_i^0 = \mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$, we easily see that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. Obviously, the family $\{\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\}_n$ is a decreasing filtration.

Proposition 5.6. *The family $\{\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$.*

Proof. We will verify the conditions (4.3)–(4.7) for $H_n = \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}$ and $R_n = \mathcal{A}_n$ using Proposition 5.2. We clearly have (4.3). The inclusion (4.4) follows from Proposition 5.2 and

$$\Delta(\mathcal{U}_n^+) \subset \sum_{i+j=n} \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_i^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}_j^+,$$

which follows from (3.6). The condition (4.5) follows from Proposition 5.2 and the fact that $\epsilon(\mathcal{U}_n^+) = 0$ for $n > 0$. We show (4.6) as follows:

$$S(\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}) = \sum_{i+j=n} S(\mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+) \subset \sum_{i+j=n} \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ \mathcal{U}_i^0 \subset \sum_{i+j=n} \mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ = \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}.$$

Here we used $S(\mathcal{U}_n^+) \subset \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_n^+$, which follows from (3.7). We see (4.7) as follows. Suppose $m+n = i+j$, $i, j \geq 0$. If $n \leq j$, then $\mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ = \mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_{j-n}^+ \mathcal{U}_n^+ \subset \mathcal{U}_m^{\geq 0} \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}$, and otherwise $\mathcal{U}_i^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ = \mathcal{U}_m^0 \mathcal{U}_{i-m}^0 \mathcal{U}_j^+ \subset \mathcal{U}_m^{\geq 0} \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}$. Hence (4.7) follows. \square

Let $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ denote the completion

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} / \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0},$$

which is a complete Hopf $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra.

Clearly, the filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\}_n$ is cofinal to the double filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_k^0 \mathcal{U}^+ + \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_l^+\}_{k,l \geq 0}$. We have

$$\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} / (\mathcal{U}_k^0 \mathcal{U}^+ + \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_l^+) \simeq (\mathcal{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}^+) / (\mathcal{U}_k^0 \mathcal{U}^+ + \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_l^+) \simeq (\mathcal{U}^0 / \mathcal{U}_k^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_l^+).$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} \simeq \varprojlim_{k,l} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} / (\mathcal{U}_k^0 \mathcal{U}^+ + \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}_l^+) \simeq \varprojlim_{k,l} (\mathcal{U}^0 / \mathcal{U}_k^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_l^+)$$

The right-hand side is isomorphic to $\varprojlim_l \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_l^+)$ since $\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_l^+$ is a finitely generated free \mathcal{A} -module. Hence there is an isomorphism of complete $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules

$$\varprojlim_k \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_k^+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}, \quad (5.4)$$

induced by $\mathcal{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}^+ \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$, $x \otimes y \mapsto xy$.

Proposition 5.7. *Each element $a \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n e^n$, where $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. The result follows using (5.4) since each element of $\varprojlim_k \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}_k^+)$ is uniquely expressed as $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \otimes e^n$ with $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ for $n \geq 0$. \square

5.5. The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{U} and the completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$. We have defined in the introduction the two-sided ideal \mathcal{U}_n in \mathcal{U} for $n \geq 0$. We have

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}\mathcal{U}^-.$$

Here the second identity follows from $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}\mathcal{U}^-$. The family $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ is a decreasing filtration of two-sided ideals in \mathcal{U} . The following follows immediately from Propositions 3.3 and 5.6.

Proposition 5.8. *The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration of \mathcal{U} with respect to $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_n$.*

The completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}_n$, already defined in the introduction, is a complete Hopf $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra.

5.6. The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}'_n\}_n$. We will regard \mathcal{U} as a free right $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module freely generated by the elements $F^{(i)}$ for $i \geq 0$, the right action being multiplication from the right. For each $n \geq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{U}'_n = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0},$$

which is the left ideal in \mathcal{U} generated by $\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}$, and is also a right $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule of \mathcal{U} . The family $\{\mathcal{U}'_n\}_n$ is a decreasing filtration of left ideals in \mathcal{U} .

Proposition 5.9. *The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}'_n\}_n$ is cofinal to $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$. In fact,*

$$\mathcal{U}_{2n-1} \subset \mathcal{U}'_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{U}'_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ for $n \geq 0$. By (3.1),

$$\mathcal{U}_m^+\mathcal{U}^- \subset \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_m^{\geq 0}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Hence for $i, j \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_i^0\mathcal{U}_j^+\mathcal{U}^- \subset \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_i^0\mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_j^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_i^0\mathcal{U}_j^{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_{\max(i,j)}^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}'_{\max(i,j)}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{U}_{2n-1} = \sum_{i+j=2n-1} \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_i^0\mathcal{U}_j^+\mathcal{U}^- \subset \sum_{i+j=2n-1} \mathcal{U}'_{\max(i,j)} \subset \mathcal{U}'_n.$$

□

By Proposition 5.9, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}'_n = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}/\mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}/\mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0} \simeq \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{U}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})/(\mathcal{U}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}) \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}/\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0}).$$

Since \mathcal{U}^- is freely \mathcal{A} -spanned by the elements $F^{(l)}$ for $l \geq 0$, the completion $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^- \otimes (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}/\mathcal{U}_n^{\geq 0})$ is the topologically-free right $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ -module generated by the $F^{(l)} \otimes 1$ for $l \geq 0$. Hence we have the following.

Proposition 5.10. *The algebra $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is a topologically-free right $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ -module which is topologically-freely generated by the elements $F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 0$. Consequently, each element $a \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} F^{(n)}a_n$, where the sequence $a_n \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ for $n \geq 0$ converges to 0 in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$.*

Corollary 5.11. *Each element $a \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $a = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F^{(n)} t_{m,n} e^m$, where the elements $t_{m,n} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ ($m, n \geq 0$) are such that for each $m \geq 0$ the sequence $(t_{m,n})_{n \geq 0}$ converges to 0 in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. We express a as in Proposition 5.10. By Proposition 5.7, we can express each a_n as $a_n = \sum_{m \geq 0} t_{m,n} e^m$, where $t_{m,n} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ for each $m \geq 0$. The assertion follows since a_n converges to 0 in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if for any $m \geq 0$ we have $t_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

6. THE \mathcal{U}_1 -ADIC TOPOLOGY FOR \mathcal{U} AND THE COMPLETION $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$

6.1. The \mathcal{A}_1 -adic completion $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} . Note that $\mathcal{A}_1 = (v - v^{-1}) = (v^2 - 1) \subset \mathcal{A}$, and $(\mathcal{A}_q)_1 = (q - 1) \subset \mathcal{A}_q$. The completion $\dot{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_1^n$ defined in the introduction is $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded: $\dot{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \dot{\mathcal{A}}_q \oplus v\dot{\mathcal{A}}_q$, where we set

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}_q = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_q/(\mathcal{A}_q)_1^n = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]/(q - 1)^n = \mathbb{Z}[[q - 1]].$$

As mentioned in the introduction, $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ induces an \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism $i_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $v - v^{-1} \in h\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$, $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ also induces an \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism $i_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}: \dot{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$.

Proposition 6.1. *$i_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $i_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}$ are injective.*

Proof. By [3, Theorem 4.1], the homomorphism $i_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_q}: \hat{\mathcal{A}}_q \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{A}}_q$ induced by $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}_q}$ is injective. (This result is also obtained by P. Vogel.) The injectivity of $i_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$ follows from the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -gradings of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$.

The homomorphism $i_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}$ factors naturally as $\dot{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[v - 1]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$. Here $\dot{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]/(v^2 - 1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[v - 1]]$ is injective by [3, Corollary 4.1], and $\mathbb{Z}[[v - 1]] \subset \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ is standard. \square

In what follows, we regard $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \dot{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$.

6.2. The \mathcal{U}_1 -adic completion $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ of \mathcal{U} . In view of Section 4, Propositions 5.2, 5.6, and 5.8, we have the following.

Proposition 6.2. *For $*$ = 0, ≥ 0 , (none), \mathcal{U}_1^* is a Hopf ideal in \mathcal{U}^* with respect to \mathcal{A}_1 . The \mathcal{U}_1^* -adic filtration $\{(\mathcal{U}_1^*)^n\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration with respect to the \mathcal{A}_1 -adic filtration $\{(\mathcal{A}_1)^n\}_n$ of \mathcal{A} .*

Set

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^0/(\mathcal{U}_1^0)^n, \quad \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}/(\mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0})^n, \quad \dot{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/(\mathcal{U}_1)^n,$$

which are complete Hopf $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebras. In view of section 4, the identity maps of \mathcal{U}^0 , $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$, and \mathcal{U} induce $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra homomorphisms

$$i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0}: \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0, \quad i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}}: \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}, \quad i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}: \dot{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}},$$

respectively.

Proposition 6.3. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$(\mathcal{U}_1^0)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n (\{1\}^i \{H\}^{n-i}), \quad (6.1)$$

$$(\mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0})^n = \sum_{i+j=n} (\mathcal{U}_1^0)^i \mathcal{U}_j^+, \quad (6.2)$$

$$(\mathcal{U}_1)^n = \mathcal{U}^-(\mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0})^n, \quad (6.3)$$

$$(\mathcal{U}_1^0)^n = (\mathcal{U}_1)^n \cap \mathcal{U}^0, \quad (\mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0})^n = (\mathcal{U}_1)^n \cap \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 5.1, we have $\mathcal{U}_1^0 = (\{1\}, \{H\})$ and hence (6.1). Since $\{H\}e^n = e^n\{H + 2n\} = e^n(v^{2n}\{H\} + \{2n\}K^{-1})$, it follows that $\mathcal{U}_1^0 e^n = e^n \mathcal{U}^0$, and hence $\mathcal{U}_1^0 \mathcal{U}_n^+ = \mathcal{U}_n^+ \mathcal{U}_1^0$. Using this identity, we have (6.2). By (5.5), $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_1' = \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0}$. We also have $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0} \mathcal{U}^-$, and hence $\mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0} \mathcal{U}^- = \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}_1^{\geq 0}$. Using this identity, we can easily verify (6.3) using induction. (6.4) follows from (6.2), (6.3) and the triangular decomposition. \square

In view of (6.4), the natural homomorphisms $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ are injective. We will regard $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0} \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ in what follows.

The following follows from $\mathcal{U}_1^0 = (v^2 - 1, K^2 - 1) \subset \mathcal{U}^0$.

Proposition 6.4. *We have*

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_{k,l} \mathcal{U}^0 / ((v^2 - 1)^k, (K^2 - 1)^l) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[[v^2 - 1, K^2 - 1]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v^2, K^2]} \mathbb{Z}[v, K].$$

Hence $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0$ consists of the elements uniquely expressed as power series

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} t_{i,j} (v^2 - 1)^i (K^2 - 1)^j,$$

where $t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}v \oplus \mathbb{Z}K \oplus \mathbb{Z}vK$ for $i, j \geq 0$.

Using arguments similar to those in Section 5.4, we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.5. *Each element of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n e^n$, where $t_n \in \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0$ for $n \geq 0$.*

By an argument similar to Section 5.2, the completion $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ is a topologically-free right $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\geq 0}$ -module topologically-freely generated by the elements $F^{(l)}$ for $l \geq 0$. Hence we have the following.

Proposition 6.6. *Each element $a \in \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $a = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F^{(n)} t_{m,n} e^m$, where the elements $t_{m,n} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0$ ($m, n \geq 0$) are such that for each $m \geq 0$ the $t_{m,n}$ converges to 0 in $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

6.3. Embedding of \mathcal{U} into $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proposition 6.7. *We have $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_n = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{U}_1)^n = 0$. Consequently, the natural homomorphisms $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}$, $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ are injective.*

Proof. By $v^2 - 1, K^2 - 1, e \in hU_h$, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \subset hU_h. \quad (6.5)$$

Using (6.5) and the well-known fact that $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} (hU_h)^n = 0$, we conclude that $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{U}_1)^n = 0$, and hence the assertion follows. \square

In what follows, we will regard $\mathcal{U} \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ via the injective homomorphisms in Proposition 6.7.

6.4. Embedding of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ into U_h . By (6.5), there is an $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}: \dot{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow U_h$$

induced by $\mathcal{U} \subset U_h$. We have the following.

Proposition 6.8. *The homomorphism $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}$ is injective.*

Proof. To prove injectivity of $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}|_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0}: \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow U_h^0$, we factor it as a composition of natural homomorphisms as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{U}}^0 &\simeq \varprojlim_n \dot{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}]/(K^2 - 1)^n \xrightarrow{i_1} \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}[[v - 1]][K, K^{-1}]/(K^2 - 1)^n \\ &\xrightarrow{i_2} \mathbb{Z}[[v - 1, K - 1]] \xrightarrow{i_3} \mathbb{Z}[[h, hH]] \xrightarrow{i_4} U_h^0. \end{aligned}$$

The map i_1 is injective since it is induced by $\dot{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}[[v - 1]]$, see the proof of Proposition 6.1. The map i_2 is injective in view of [3, Corollary 4.1]. Injectivity of i_3 and i_4 is standard. Therefore $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}|_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0}$ is injective.

Injectivity of $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}$ follows from injectivity of $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}|_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0}$ and Proposition 6.6. \square

In what follows, we regard $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ as an $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$ -subalgebra of U_h via the injective homomorphism $i_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}$.

6.5. Embedding of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ into $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proposition 6.9. *For $*$ = 0, ≥ 0 , (none), the homomorphism $i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^*}$ is injective.*

Proof. First consider the case $*$ = 0. Let $t \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and suppose that $i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0}(t) = 0$. Express t as in Proposition 5.5. For $l \geq 1$, we can expand $\{H\}'_l$ in $K^2 - 1$ as

$$\{H\}'_l = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} ((K^2 - 1) + (1 - v^{2i})) = \sum_{j=1}^l b_{l,j} (v^2 - 1)^{l-j} (K^2 - 1)^j$$

where $b_{l,j} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. We have $b_{l,l} = 1$. We have

$$i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0}(t) = t_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t_l \sum_{j=1}^l b_{l,j} (v^2 - 1)^{l-j} (K^2 - 1)^j = t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j (K^2 - 1)^j,$$

where $c_j = \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} t_l b_{l,j} (v^2 - 1)^{l-j} \in \dot{\mathcal{A}} + \dot{\mathcal{A}}K$. Since $i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0}(t) = 0$, we have $t_0 = 0$, and, for each $j \geq 1$, we have $c_j = 0$. Since $b_{j,j} = 1$, we can inductively verify that each t_n ($n \geq 1$) is divisible by $(v^2 - 1)^m$ for all $m \geq 0$. We therefore have $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = 0$, consequently $t = 0$. Therefore $i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0}$ is injective.

The other two cases $*$ = ≥ 0 and $*$ = (none) follow from the case $*$ = 0, Proposition 5.7, Corollary 5.11, Proposition 6.5, and Proposition 6.6. \square

In what follows, for $*$ = 0, ≥ 0 , (none), we regard $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^*$ as an $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^*$ via $i_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}^*}$, and hence as an $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -subalgebra of U_h^* .

Since $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset U_h^0$ are integral domains, we have the following.

Corollary 6.10. *The ring $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is an integral domain.*

Since \mathcal{U}_1^0 is preserved by γ_j for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an induced automorphism $\gamma_j: \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$. In view of the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset U_h^0$, the automorphism γ_j of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and that of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ are restrictions of $\gamma_j: U_h^0 \rightarrow U_h^0$.

7. THE FILTRATION $\{\mathcal{U}_n^e\}_n$, THE COMPLETION $\check{\mathcal{U}}$, AND THE SUBALGEBRA $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ OF $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$

7.1. The filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_n^e\}_n$ of \mathcal{U} and the completion $\check{\mathcal{U}}$ of \mathcal{U} . Recall from the introduction that, for $n \geq 0$, \mathcal{U}_n^e denotes the two-sided ideal in \mathcal{U} generated by the element e^n . We have

$$\mathcal{U}_n^e = \mathcal{U}e^n\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_n^+\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^0\mathcal{U}_n^+\mathcal{U}^- = \mathcal{U}^0\mathcal{U}^-\mathcal{U}_n^+\mathcal{U}^-, \quad (7.1)$$

Clearly, $\{\mathcal{U}_n^e\}_n$ is a decreasing filtration.

Proposition 7.1. *The family $\{\mathcal{U}_n^e\}_n$ is a fine Hopf algebra filtration of \mathcal{U} over \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. The assertion follows from (3.6) and (3.7). \square

The completion $\check{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}_n^e$, defined in the introduction, is a complete Hopf \mathcal{A} -algebra.

7.2. The image $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. We have $\mathcal{U}_n^e \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Hence $\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}}$ induces an \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism

$$i_{\check{\mathcal{U}}}: \check{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}},$$

Conjecture 7.2. *$i_{\check{\mathcal{U}}}$ is injective.*

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ denote the image $i_{\check{\mathcal{U}}}(\check{\mathcal{U}}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}}$, which is an \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$.

7.3. Hopf algebra structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. If Conjecture 7.2 holds, then we can identify $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{U}}$, and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ has a complete Hopf algebra structure. Even if Conjecture 7.2 is not true, we can define a Hopf algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ in a suitable sense as follows. For $n \geq 0$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes n}$ denote the image of the natural map

$$\check{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes n}$$

between the completed tensor products. We have natural isomorphisms $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes 0} \simeq \mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes 1} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. The complete Hopf algebra structure of $\check{\mathcal{U}}$ induces continuous \mathcal{A} -module maps

$$\tilde{\Delta}: \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes 2}, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}: \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad \tilde{S}: \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}.$$

These maps satisfy the Hopf algebra axioms, such as coassociativity

$$(\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \text{id})\tilde{\Delta} = (\text{id} \otimes \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{\Delta}: \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\otimes 3}.$$

8. A $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -GRADING OF U AND THE INDUCED GRADINGS

Let \mathcal{G}_0U denote the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of U generated by the elements K^2 , K^{-2} , E , and FK . Set $\mathcal{G}_1U = K\mathcal{G}_0U$. Then we have a direct sum decomposition

$$U = \mathcal{G}_0U \oplus \mathcal{G}_1U, \quad (8.1)$$

which gives U a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra structure. An element of U is called *K-homogeneous* if it is homogeneous in the grading (8.1). Moreover, an additive subgroup M of U is called *K-homogeneous* if M is homogeneous in the grading (8.1), i.e., M is generated by the *K-homogeneous* element. For any *K-homogeneous* additive subgroup M of U and $i = 0, 1$, set

$$\mathcal{G}_iM = \mathcal{G}_iU \cap M.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{U} is *K-homogeneous*. Hence (8.1) induces a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded \mathcal{A} -algebra structure on \mathcal{U} :

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{G}_1\mathcal{U},$$

and $\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}$ is generated as an \mathcal{A} -algebra by the elements K^2 , K^{-2} , e , and $F^{(i)}K^i$ for $i \geq 1$.

The two-sided ideals \mathcal{U}_n , $(\mathcal{U}_1)^n$, and \mathcal{U}_n^e for $n \geq 0$ are all *K-homogeneous* since the generators of them are *K-homogeneous*. Therefore $\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \dot{\mathcal{U}}, \check{\mathcal{U}}, \ddot{\mathcal{U}}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ inherits from \mathcal{U} a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded algebra structure

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{G}_0\vec{\mathcal{U}} \oplus \mathcal{G}_1\vec{\mathcal{U}}.$$

The degree 0 part $\mathcal{G}_0\vec{\mathcal{U}}$ is naturally identified with a completion of $\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_0\dot{\mathcal{U}} &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}_n, & \mathcal{G}_0\dot{\mathcal{U}} &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{G}_0(\mathcal{U}_1)^n, \\ \mathcal{G}_0\check{\mathcal{U}} &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}_n^e, & \mathcal{G}_0\tilde{\mathcal{U}} &\simeq i_{\vec{\mathcal{U}}}(\mathcal{G}_0\tilde{\mathcal{U}}). \end{aligned}$$

9. CENTERS

9.1. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism for U_h . Let

$$\varphi: \Gamma_0U_h \rightarrow U_h^0$$

denote the *Harish-Chandra homomorphism* of U_h , which is defined to be the continuous $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ -module homomorphism satisfying

$$\varphi(F^i t E^i) = \delta_{i,0} t$$

for $i \geq 0$ and $t \in U_h^0$. Set

$$w = \gamma_2 S = S \gamma_{-2}: U_h^0 \rightarrow U_h^0,$$

which is the (involutive) continuous $\mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ -algebra automorphism of U_h^0 determined by $w(H) = -H - 2$. Set

$$(U_h^0)^w = \{t \in U_h^0 \mid w(t) = t\}.$$

We will use the following.

Theorem 9.1 ([14]). *The homomorphism φ maps the center $Z(U_h)$ isomorphically onto $(U_h^0)^w$.*

9.2. Facts about the centers of \mathcal{U} , $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$. In the rest of this section we will determine the centers of the subalgebras $\mathcal{U} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}} \subset \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ of U_h . Let $\vec{\mathcal{U}}$ denote one of these algebras. We have $Z(\vec{\mathcal{U}}) = \vec{\mathcal{U}} \cap Z(U_h)$ since if $z \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}$ is central in $\vec{\mathcal{U}}$, then z commutes with K, F, e and hence also with H and E , and consequently z is central in U_h .

If $\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}$, or $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$, then let $\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0$ denote $\mathcal{U}^0, \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$, or $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^0$, respectively. In view of Proposition 3.1, Corollary 5.11, and Proposition 6.6, we have

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \varphi(\Gamma_0 \vec{\mathcal{U}}).$$

If $\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, then set

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \varphi(\Gamma_0 \tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0.$$

(Warning: the notation $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0$ might suggest that $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \cap \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$, but this is not the case. Actually, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is not contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$.)

For $\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{U}}$, set

$$(\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w = \vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 \cap (U_h)^w = \{t \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 \mid w(t) = t\}.$$

In view of Theorem 9.1, φ maps $Z(\vec{\mathcal{U}})$ injectively into $(\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$.

9.3. Center of \mathcal{U} . It is well-known that the center $Z(U)$ is freely generated as an $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra by the element

$$C = fe + vK + vK^{-1} = (v - v^{-1})Fe + vK + v^{-1}K^{-1} \in Z(\overline{U}) \subset Z(\mathcal{U}),$$

i.e., we have

$$Z(U) = \mathbb{Q}(v)[C].$$

Theorem 9.2. *The center $Z(\mathcal{U})$ of \mathcal{U} is freely generated as an \mathcal{A} -algebra by the element C , i.e., we have*

$$Z(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{A}[C]. \tag{9.1}$$

The Harish-Chandra homomorphism φ maps $Z(\mathcal{U})$ isomorphically onto $(\mathcal{U}^0)^w$. We also have

$$Z(\mathcal{U}) = Z(\overline{U}).$$

Proof. Since $w(K^{\pm 1}) = v^{\mp 2}K^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{U}$, the automorphism w of U_h^0 restricts to an automorphism $w: \mathcal{U}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^0$. One can verify that the subalgebra $(\mathcal{U}^0)^w$ is freely generated as an \mathcal{A} -algebra by the element $\varphi(C) = vK + vK^{-1}$. By Section 9.2, $Z(\mathcal{U})$ is mapped injectively into $(\mathcal{U}^0)^w$, and hence we have $Z(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{A}[C]$. Since $C \in Z(\mathcal{U})$, we have $\mathcal{A}[C] \subset Z(\mathcal{U})$. Therefore we have (9.1) and $\varphi(Z(U)) = (\mathcal{U}^0)^w$. The last assertion follows from (9.1) and $C \in Z(\overline{U})$. \square

Since $C \in \mathcal{G}_1(Z(\mathcal{U}))$, it follows that the center $Z(\mathcal{U})$ is K -homogeneous and

$$\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{A}[C^2], \quad \mathcal{G}_1 Z(\mathcal{U}) = C\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\mathcal{U}) = C\mathcal{A}[C^2].$$

9.4. **A basis of $Z(\mathcal{U})$.** As in the introduction, we set for $n \geq 0$

$$\sigma_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (C^2 - (v^i + v^{-i})^2) \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \subset Z(\mathcal{U}).$$

Note that the σ_n for $n \geq 0$ form a basis of $\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\mathcal{U})$, and hence the $C\sigma_n$ for $n \geq 0$ form a basis of $\mathcal{G}_1 Z(\mathcal{U})$.

Lemma 9.3. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap UE^n U \subset \sigma_n Z(\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. For $i \geq 1$, there is an i -dimensional irreducible left U -module V_i^\pm generated by a highest weight vector u_i^\pm satisfying $Ku_i^\pm = \pm v^{i-1}u_i^\pm$. It is known that C acts on V_i^\pm by the scalar $\pm(v^i + v^{-i})$.

Suppose that $z \in Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap UE^n U$. Write z as a polynomial $z = g(C) \in \mathcal{A}[C]$. Since $z \in UE^n U$, z acts as 0 on the i -dimensional irreducible representations V_i^+ and V_i^- with $i \leq n$. Since z acts on V_i^\pm by the scalar $g(\pm(v^i + v^{-i}))$, we have $g(\pm(v^i + v^{-i})) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence the polynomial $z = g(C)$ is divisible by

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (C - (v^i + v^{-i}))(C + (v^i + v^{-i})) = \prod_{i=1}^n (C^2 - (v^i + v^{-i})^2) = \sigma_n.$$

□

The following is proved in Section 10.

Proposition 9.4. *For each $n \geq 0$, we have $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{U}_n^e$.*

Assuming Proposition 9.4, we have the following.

Theorem 9.5. *For each $n \geq 0$,*

$$\sigma_n Z(\mathcal{U}) = Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^e = Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap UE^n U.$$

Proof. Lemma 9.3 and Proposition 9.4 implies that

$$Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap UE^n U \subset \sigma_n Z(\mathcal{U}) \subset Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^e \subset Z(\mathcal{U}) \cap UE^n U,$$

where the last inclusion is obvious. Hence the assertion follows. □

9.5. **Center of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$.** For $n \geq 0$, we have $w(\mathcal{U}_n^0) = \gamma_2 S(\mathcal{U}_n^0) = \gamma_2(\mathcal{U}_n^0) = \mathcal{U}_n^0$. Hence w restricts to an involutive, continuous $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra automorphism of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\bar{\sigma}_n = \varphi(\sigma_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi(C)^2 - (v^i + v^{-i})^2) = \{H\}_n \{H + 1 + n\}_n.$$

Lemma 9.6. *We have $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}]/(\bar{\sigma}_n)$.*

Proof. Set $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)' = \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}]/(\bar{\sigma}_n)$. Since $(\bar{\sigma}_n) \subset (\{H\}_n)$, $\text{id}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}]}$ induces a continuous $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra homomorphism $f_1: (\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)' \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$. We will show that there is a natural homomorphism $f_2: \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)'$. By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 5.4, we see that $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)' \simeq \varprojlim_{m,n} \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}]/(\{m\}!, \bar{\sigma}_n)$. Hence it suffices to prove that, for each $m, n \geq 0$, there is $n' \geq 0$ such that $\{H\}_{n'} \in (\{m\}!, \bar{\sigma}_n)$. By Proposition 5.1, $\mathcal{U}_{4n}^0 \subset (\{H\}_{2n+1}, \{2n\}!)$. Applying the homomorphism γ_{n+1}

to both sides, we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{4n}^0 \subset (\{H+n+1\}_{2n+1}, \{2n\}!) \subset (\bar{\sigma}_n, \{2n\}!)$. Hence, by Proposition 5.1, we have $\{H\}_{n'} \in (\{m\}!, \bar{\sigma}_n)$ where $n' = \max(4n, 2m)$. Hence f_2 exists. Clearly, f_2 is inverse to f_1 . \square

Remark 9.7. By the proof of Lemma 9.6, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}] / (\{H+n+1\}_{2n+1}).$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \varprojlim_{X \subset \mathbb{Z}, |X| < \infty} \hat{\mathcal{A}}[K, K^{-1}] / (\prod_{k \in X} \{H+k\}),$$

where X runs through all the finite subsets of \mathbb{Z} .

Since $w: \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ preserves the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -grading $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \mathcal{G}_0 \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$, it follows that $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$ is K -homogeneous and hence has a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded algebra structure: $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w = \mathcal{G}_0(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w \oplus \mathcal{G}_1(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$.

Lemma 9.8. *Each element of $\mathcal{G}_0(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \bar{\sigma}_n$, where $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ for $n \geq 0$. We have $\mathcal{G}_1(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w = \varphi(C) \mathcal{G}_0(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$.*

Proof. By Lemma 9.6, each element $t \in \mathcal{G}_0 \hat{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is uniquely expressed as $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} (t_n + t'_n K^2) \bar{\sigma}_n$, where $t_n, t'_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $n \geq 0$. Suppose that $t \in \mathcal{G}_0(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. It suffices to show that $t'_n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. We may assume without loss of generality that $t_n = 0$ for each $n \geq 0$, and hence $t = \sum_n t'_n K^2 \bar{\sigma}_n$. The proof is by induction on n . Suppose that $t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_{n-1} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. Then $t \equiv t'_n K^2 \bar{\sigma}_n \pmod{(\bar{\sigma}_{n+1})}$. Since $t = w(t)$, we have $t'_n K^2 \bar{\sigma}_n \equiv t'_n v^{-4} K^{-2} \bar{\sigma}_n \pmod{(\bar{\sigma}_{n+1})}$, and hence $t'_n (K^2 - v^{-4} K^{-2}) \in (\{H-n\} \{H+n+2\})$. Since $K^2 - v^{-4} K^{-2}$ is not divisible by $\{H-n\} \{H+n+2\}$, we have $t'_n = 0$. This completes the proof of the first assertion.

Suppose that $t \in \mathcal{G}_1(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. By Lemma 9.6, t is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_n t_n \bar{\sigma}_n$, where $t_0, t_1, \dots \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}K + \hat{\mathcal{A}}K^{-1}$. Then $w(t) = \sum_n w(t_n) \bar{\sigma}_n$, and $w(t_n) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}K + \hat{\mathcal{A}}K^{-1}$ for $n \geq 0$. Since $w(t) = t$, we have $\sum_n (t_n - w(t_n)) \bar{\sigma}_n = 0$, and hence $t_n = w(t_n)$ for $n \geq 0$. Therefore $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}\varphi(C)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and hence $t \in \varphi(C) \mathcal{G}_0(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. This completes the second assertion. \square

Theorem 9.9. *Each element $z \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $z = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n \sigma_n$, where $z_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}}C$ for $n \geq 0$. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism φ maps $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ isomorphically onto $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. We have*

$$Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{m,n} Z(\mathcal{U}) / (\{m\}!, \sigma_n) \simeq \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[C] / (\sigma_n).$$

The center $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ is K -homogeneous, and we have

$$\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathcal{A}}[C^2] / (\sigma_n), \quad \mathcal{G}_1 Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}}) = C \mathcal{G}_0 Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Proof. By Section 9.2, φ maps $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ injectively into $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. By Lemma 9.8, each element t of $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} (t_n + t'_n \varphi(C)) \bar{\sigma}_n$, where $t_n, t'_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ for $n \geq 0$. The element $z = \sum_{n \geq 0} (t_n + t'_n C) \sigma_n$ is contained in

$Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ since we have $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ by Proposition 9.4. Obviously, $\varphi(z) = t$. Hence the first and the second assertions follow. The rest of the theorem easily follows. \square

9.6. Center of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$.

Lemma 9.10. *If $n \geq 0$, then $\varphi(\Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}_n^e) = (\{H\}_n) \subset \mathcal{U}^0$. Consequently, each element of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \{H\}_n$, where $t_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. In view of (7.1), $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}_n^e$ is \mathcal{A} -spanned by the elements

$$tF^{(i)}e^mF^{(k)}e^l \quad (i, k, l \geq 0, m \geq n, m + l = i + k, t \in \mathcal{U}^0).$$

Since $\varphi(tF^{(i)}e^mF^{(k)}e^l) = 0$ if either $i > 0$ or $l > 0$, it follows that $\varphi(\Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}_n^e)$ is \mathcal{A} -spanned by the elements $t\varphi(e^mF^{(m)})$ for $m \geq n$ and $t \in \mathcal{U}^0$. By (3.1), we have $\varphi(e^mF^{(m)}) = \{H\}_m$. Hence the first assertion follows. \square

Lemma 9.11. *Each element of $\mathcal{G}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \bar{\sigma}_n$, where $t_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n \geq 0$. We have $\mathcal{G}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w = \varphi(C)\mathcal{G}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$.*

Proof. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $s_j: \hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the continuous $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra homomorphism determined by $s_j(K) = v^j$.

Let t be an element of $\mathcal{G}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$). By Lemma 9.8, t is uniquely expressed as $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \bar{\sigma}_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n \{H\}_n \{H + n + 1\}_n$, where $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ (resp. $t_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}\varphi(C)$) for $n \geq 0$. We prove by induction on n that $t_n \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp. $t_n \in \mathcal{A}\varphi(C)$) for $n \geq 0$. Let $n \geq 0$ and assume that $t_i \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp. $t_i \in \mathcal{A}\varphi(C)$) if $0 \leq i < n$. By Lemma 9.10, we have $s_n(t) \in \mathcal{A}$, and hence $\mathcal{A} \ni s_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n s_n(t_i) \{n\}_i \{n+i+1\}_i$. By the inductive hypothesis, $s_n(t_n) \{n\}_n \{2n+1\}_n \in \mathcal{A}$. In the case $t \in \mathcal{G}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$, we see using Lemma 9.12 below that $s_n(t_n) = t_n \in \mathcal{A}$. In the case $t \in \mathcal{G}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$, we have $t_n = b_n \varphi(C)$ for some $b_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence $s_n(t_n) = b_n(v^{n+1} + v^{-n-1}) \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $v^{n+1} + v^{-n-1}$ is equal up to multiplication of a power of v to the product of some cyclotomic polynomials in $q = v^2$, it follows from Lemma 9.12 below that $b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, and therefore $t_n \in \mathcal{A}\varphi(C)$. \square

For $i \geq 1$, let $\Phi_i(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \subset \mathcal{A}_q$ denote the i th cyclotomic polynomial in q .

Lemma 9.12. *Suppose that $t \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $t\Phi(q) \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\Phi(q) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_i(q)^{\lambda(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is the product of some powers of cyclotomic polynomials in $q = v^2$. Then $t \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. If $t \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_q$, then it follows from [3, Proposition 7.3] that $t \in \mathcal{A}_q$. If $t \in v\hat{\mathcal{A}}_q$, then the first case implies that $t \in v\mathcal{A}_q$. Then the general case immediately follows. \square

Theorem 9.13. *Each element $z \in Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $z = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n \sigma_n$, where $z_n \in \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}C$ for $n \geq 0$. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism φ maps $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ isomorphically onto $(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^0)^w$. We have*

$$Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq \varprojlim_n Z(\mathcal{U})/(\sigma_n).$$

The center $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ is K -homogeneous, and we have

$$\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}[C^2]/(\sigma_n), \quad \mathcal{G}_1 Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) = C\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Proof. Let $z \in Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. By Lemma 9.11, we have $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a_n + a'_n \varphi(C)) \bar{\sigma}_n$ with $a_n, a'_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n \geq 0$. Set $z' = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a_n + a'_n C) \sigma_n$, which is an element of $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ by Proposition 9.4, and we have $\varphi(z) = \varphi(z')$. Since φ is injective, we have $z = z'$. Therefore the first and the second assertions follow. The rest of the theorem follows from the first assertion. \square

9.7. Center of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$. For completeness, we state the following results.

Theorem 9.14. *Each element $z \in Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}})$ is uniquely expressed as a formal power series $z = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n (C^2 - [2]^2)^n$, where $z_n \in \dot{\mathcal{A}} + \dot{\mathcal{A}}C$ for $n \geq 0$. (Note that $C^2 - [2]^2 = \sigma_1$.) The Harish-Chandra homomorphism φ maps $Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}})$ isomorphically onto $(\mathcal{U}^0)^w$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) &\simeq \varprojlim_{m,n} Z(\mathcal{U})/(\{1\}^m, (C^2 - [2]^2)^n) \simeq \varprojlim_n \dot{\mathcal{A}}[C]/((C^2 - [2]^2)^n), \\ \mathcal{G}_0 Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) &\simeq \dot{\mathcal{A}}[[C^2 - [2]^2]], \quad \mathcal{G}_1 Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) = C\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 9.14 is similar to that of Theorem 9.9. One also has a version of Theorem 9.14 in which the occurrences of $(C^2 - [2]^2)^n$ are replaced with σ_n as follows.

Theorem 9.15. *Each element $z \in Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}})$ is uniquely expressed as an infinite sum $z = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n \sigma_n$, where $z_n \in \dot{\mathcal{A}} + \dot{\mathcal{A}}C$ for $n \geq 0$. We have*

$$Z(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{m,n} Z(\mathcal{U})/(\{1\}^m, \sigma_n) \simeq \varprojlim_n \dot{\mathcal{A}}[C]/(\sigma_n).$$

Proof. Use Theorem 9.14 and the fact that the double filtrations $\{(\{1\}^m, \sigma_n)\}_{m,n}$ and $\{(\{1\}^m, (C^2 - [2]^2)^n)\}_{m,n}$ of $Z(\mathcal{U})$ are cofinal. \square

9.8. Multiplication in $Z(\mathcal{U})$, $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$, and $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. Multiplication in the centers $Z(\mathcal{U})$, $Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$, and $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ is described by giving a formula for the product $\sigma_m \sigma_n$ as a linear combination of the σ_j .

Proposition 9.16. *If $m, n \geq 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m \sigma_n &= \sum_{i=0}^{\min(m,n)} \{m\}_i \{n\}_i \begin{bmatrix} m+n+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \sigma_{m+n-i} \\ &= \sum_{j=\max(m,n)}^{m+n} \{m\}_{m+n-j} \{n\}_{m+n-j} \begin{bmatrix} m+n+1 \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix} \sigma_j. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward induction on n using an identity

$$\sigma_m \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_{m+1} \sigma_n + \{m-n\} \{m+n+2\} \sigma_m \sigma_n.$$

\square

Remark 9.17. Suppose that $a = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m \sigma_m$ and $b = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n \sigma_n$ are elements of $\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\hat{\mathcal{U}})$ (or $\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$, $\mathcal{G}_0 Z(\mathcal{U})$), then

$$ab = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\sum_{0 \leq m, n \leq j; m+n \geq j} \{m\}_{m+n-j} \{n\}_{m+n-j} \begin{bmatrix} m+n+1 \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix} a_m b_n \right) \sigma_j.$$

10. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 9.4

In this section, we will prove Proposition 9.4. In the first two subsections 10.1, and 10.2, we prepare necessary facts. The proof is given in 10.3, in which we need a result in 10.4.

10.1. Formulas in \mathcal{U}^0 . For $a \in \mathbb{Z}H + \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 0$, we have the following well-known formula

$$\{a\}_r = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j v^{\frac{1}{2}(r-2j)(-r+1+2a)} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10.1)$$

which one can verify by induction on r .

For $r, s \geq 0$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}H + \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(a, r; b, s; c) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^{i+j} v^{\frac{1}{2}(r-2i)(-r+1+2a) + \frac{1}{2}(s-2j)(-s+1+2b) + \frac{1}{2}c(rs-4ji)} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j v^{\frac{1}{2}(s-2j)(-s+1+2b+rc)} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ j \end{bmatrix} \{a + jc\}_r. \end{aligned}$$

where the identity follows from (10.1). We have the symmetry

$$\kappa(a, r; b, s; c) = \kappa(b, s; a, r; c). \quad (10.2)$$

Note that $\kappa(a, r; b, s; c) \in v^{\frac{1}{2}crs} \mathcal{U}^0$.

Lemma 10.1. *If $0 \leq j \leq m$, then*

$$\sum_{i=j}^m (-1)^i v^{i(j-m)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^j v^{m-j}. \quad (10.3)$$

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\sum_{i=k}^l (-1)^i v^{i(k-l)} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ i \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^k \quad (10.4)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq l$, which can also be derived from (10.2) by setting $(a, r, b, s, c) = (-1, k-1, l-k, l, 1)$. The formula (10.3) follows from (10.4) by setting $l = m+1$, $k = j+1$, and shifting i by 1. \square

Lemma 10.2. *If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^l (-1)^j v^{j(l-1-n)} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix} \{H-n\}_{l-j} \{H+j-l\}_j = (-1)^l v^{l^2-l} \{n\}_l K^{-l}. \quad (10.5)$$

Proof. Let λ_l and λ'_l denote the left and right hand sides of (10.5), respectively. It is straightforward to verify that the λ_n and the λ'_n satisfy the same recurrence relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{l+1} &= \{H - n\}\gamma_{-1}(\lambda_l) - v^{2l-n}\{H - l\}\lambda_l, \\ \lambda'_{l+1} &= \{H - n\}\gamma_{-1}(\lambda'_l) - v^{2l-n}\{H - l\}\lambda'_l.\end{aligned}$$

An induction proves the assertion. \square

10.2. Adjoint action. Let $\triangleright: U \otimes U \rightarrow U$, $x \otimes y \mapsto x \triangleright y$, denote the (left) adjoint action defined by

$$x \triangleright y = \sum x_{(1)}yS(x_{(2)})$$

for $x, y \in U$, where $\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. We will regard U as a left U -module by the adjoint action.

For a homogeneous element x in U and $m \geq 0$, we have

$$e^m \triangleright x = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i v^{i(m-1+2|x|)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} e^{m-i} x e^i, \quad (10.6)$$

$$F^{(m)} \triangleright x = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i v^{-i(m-1)} F^{(m-i)} x F^{(i)} K^m, \quad (10.7)$$

which can easily be derived using formulas in Section 3.2.

For each $n \geq 0$, set

$$M_n = U \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)} = U \triangleright K^{-n} e^n \subset U,$$

which is an irreducible $(2n+1)$ -dimensional left U -module, with a highest weight vector $K^{-n} E^{(n)}$ of weight v^{2n} , i.e., we have $E \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)} = 0$ and $K \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)} = v^{2n}$. We have

$$F^{(2n)} \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)} = (-1)^n v^{-n(n-1)} F^{(n)},$$

and $F^{(k)} \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)} = 0$ if $k > 2n$. The element $F^{(n)}$ is a lowest weight vector, i.e., $F \triangleright F^{(n)} = 0$.

Lemma 10.3. *If $n, j \geq 0$, then*

$$e^j \triangleright F^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n v^{-\frac{1}{2}(j-k)(-j+1+2n)} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} \beta_{n,j,k} F^{(n-k)} e^{j-k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{n,j,k} &= \kappa(n-k, j-k; H-j+n, k; 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-k} (-1)^i v^{\frac{1}{2}(j-k-2i)(-j+1+2n)} \begin{bmatrix} j-k \\ i \end{bmatrix} \{H-j+i+n\}_k \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^l v^{\frac{1}{2}(k-2l)(1-j+2n-2k)} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix} \{n-k+l\}_{j-k} K^{k-2l}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by computation and we omit it. \square

10.3. Proof of Proposition 9.4. For each $m \geq 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_m &= \prod_{i=1}^m (C - v^{2i+1} - v^{-2i-1}) \in Z(\overline{U}), \\ \xi'_m &= \prod_{i=1}^m (C - v^{2i+1}K - v^{-2i-1}K^{-1}) \in \Gamma_0 \overline{U}.\end{aligned}$$

By induction on m , we can check that

$$\xi'_m = \{m\}! \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \{H + m + 1\}_i F^{(m-i)} e^{m-i}. \quad (10.8)$$

For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = (\{2n\}!)^{-1} \xi_{2n} \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n = (\{2n\}!)^{-1} \xi'_{2n} \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n.$$

Here the identity holds since $K^{\pm 1} \triangleright x = x$ for any $x \in \Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}$. In view of (10.8), we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n^e$.

Lemma 10.4. *For each $n \geq 0$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \sigma_n Z(\mathcal{U})$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 9.3 and $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n^e$, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{\sigma}_n$ is central. The elements $F^{(n)}$ and $K^{-n} e^n$ are contained in the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional, irreducible left U -submodule $M_n = U \triangleright K^{-n} E^{(n)}$ of the adjoint representation of U . Hence the product $K^{-n} e^n$ is contained in $M_n M_n = \mu(M_n \otimes M_n) \subset U$, where $\mu: U \otimes U \rightarrow U$ is the multiplication. Since M_n is a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation, it follows from the Clebsch-Gordan rule that $M_n \otimes M_n$ has a direct sum decomposition

$$M_n \otimes M_n = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{2n},$$

where, for each $i = 0, \dots, 2n$, W_i is the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional irreducible U -module generated by a highest weight vector of weight v^{2n} . Therefore

$$M_n M_n = W'_0 \oplus W'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W'_{2n},$$

where $W'_i = \mu(W_i)$ is either isomorphic to W_i or zero for each $i = 0, \dots, 2n$. (Actually, all the W'_i are nonzero, but we will not need this fact.) Since, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the element $C - v^{2i+1} - v^{-2i-1}$ acts as 0 on W_i , the element ξ_{2n} acts by the adjoint action as 0 on W'_i . Therefore we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in W'_0$, and hence $\tilde{\sigma}_n$ is central. \square

Lemma 10.5. *For $n \geq 0$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \mathcal{A} \sigma_n$.*

Proof. By computation (see below), we obtain

$$\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}_n) = (-1)^n v^{-n^2+n} \{H\}_n \sum_{i=n}^{2n} (-1)^i \{i\}_n \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix} \{H+n-i\}_n. \quad (10.9)$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}_n) \in \text{Span}_{\mathcal{A}} \{K^{2n}, K^{2n-2}, \dots, K^{-2n}\}.$$

By Lemma 10.4, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \text{Span}_{\mathcal{A}} \{1, C^2, C^4, \dots, C^{2n}\}$, and the assertion follows.

Here we sketch the proof of (10.9). It follows from (10.8) and the fact that $t \triangleright x = \epsilon(t)x$ for $t \in \mathcal{U}^0$, $x \in \Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \{2n+1\}_{2n-i} F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n. \quad (10.10)$$

If $i < n$, then $\varphi(F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n) = 0$. Otherwise, we can prove using (10.6) and (10.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n) \\ &= (-1)^i v^{-i(i-1)+2ni-2n^2} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ n \end{bmatrix} \{H\}_n \{H+n-i\}_n K^{i-n} \\ & \quad \sum_{j=0}^{i-n} (-1)^j v^{j(i-1)-2nj} \begin{bmatrix} i-n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \{H-n\}_{i-n-j} \{H+n+j-i\}_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10.2, the sum in the right-hand side is equal to

$$(-1)^{i-n} v^{(i-n)^2-(i-n)} \{n\}_{i-n} K^{-i+n}.$$

Then it is easy to check (10.9). \square

Proposition 10.6. *For $n \geq 0$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_n = v^{-n^2+n} \{n\}! \sigma_n$.*

Proof. For an element $t \in \mathcal{U}^0 = \mathcal{A}[K, K^{-1}]$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $c_p(t) \in \mathcal{A}$ denote the coefficient of K^p in t . Note that $c_n(\{H+r\}_n) = v^{\sum_{s=r-n+1}^r s} = v^{n(2r-n+1)/2}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$c_{2n}(\varphi(\sigma_n)) = c_{2n}(\{H\}_n \{H+n+1\}_n) = c_n(\{H\}_n) c_n(\{H+n+1\}) = v^{2n}.$$

Using (10.9), we obtain

$$c_{2n}(\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}_n)) = (-1)^n v^{-n^2+2n} \{n\}! \sum_{i=n}^{2n} (-1)^i v^{-ni} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 10.1,

$$c_{2n}(\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}_n)) = (-1)^n v^{-n^2+2n} \{n\}! (-1)^n v^n = v^{-n^2+3n} \{n\}!.$$

Hence, by Lemma 10.5,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = \frac{c_{2n}(\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}_n))}{c_{2n}(\varphi(\sigma_n))} \sigma_n = \frac{v^{-n^2+3n} \{n\}!}{v^{2n}} \sigma_n = v^{-n^2+n} \{n\}! \sigma_n.$$

\square

Lemma 10.7. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j v^{j(-j-1+2n)+2n} \{2n-j\}! (e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) (F^{(j)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n).$$

Proof. Since $e^i F^{(i)} - F^{(i)} e^i \in \mathcal{U}\{H\}$ by (3.1), we have $F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright x = e^i F^{(i)} \triangleright x$ for $x \in \Gamma_0 \mathcal{U}$. Hence it follows from (3.1), (3.6), (3.8), and $e \triangleright K^{-n} e^n = F^{(p)} \triangleright F^{(r)} = 0$ ($p \geq 1$) that

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n &= e^i F^{(i)} \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n \\ &= e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} (F^{(i)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i v^{-j(i-j)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} (K^{i-j} e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) (e^{i-j} F^{(i)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$K^{i-j} e^j \triangleright F^{(n)} = v^{2(i-j)(j-n)} e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}$$

and

$$e^{i-j} F^{(i)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n = F^{(j)} \{H - j\}_{i-j} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n = F^{(j)} \{2n - j\}_{i-j} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n,$$

we have

$$F^{(i)} e^i \triangleright F^{(n)} K^{-n} e^n = \sum_{j=0}^i v^{(i-j)(j-2n)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} \{2n - j\}_{i-j} (e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) (F^{(j)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n).$$

By (10.10),

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\sigma}_n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \{2n + 1\}_{2n-i} \sum_{j=0}^i v^{(i-j)(j-2n)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} \{2n - j\}_{i-j} (e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) (F^{(j)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2n} v^{-j(j-2n)} \{2n - j\}! \left(\sum_{i=j}^{2n} (-1)^i v^{i(j-2n)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n + 1 \\ i + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) (e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) (F^{(j)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the identity $\{2n - j\}_{i-j} \{2n + 1\}_{2n-i} = \{2n - j\}! \begin{bmatrix} 2n + 1 \\ i + 1 \end{bmatrix}$. By Lemma 10.1, the sum over i is equal to $(-1)^j v^{2n-j}$. Hence the assertion follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 9.4. We have to show that $\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \{n\} \mathcal{U}_n^e$. In view of Lemma 10.7 and $F^{(j)} \triangleright K^{-n} e^n \in \mathcal{U}_n^e$, it suffices to prove that

$$\{2n - j\}! (e^j \triangleright F^{(n)}) \in \{n\} \mathcal{U}$$

for each $j = 0, \dots, 2n$. Note that the case $0 \leq j \leq n$ is trivial. We assume $n < j \leq 2n$ in the following. In view of Lemma 10.3, it suffices to show that if $0 \leq l \leq k \leq n < j \leq 2n$, then

$$\{2n - j\}! \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix} \{n - k + l\}_{j-k} \in \{n\} \mathcal{A}. \quad (10.11)$$

Note that $\{n - k + l\}_{j-k} = 0$ unless $l - j + n \geq 0$. If $l - j + n \geq 0$, then (10.11) is equal to

$$\{n\}! \{n - k\}! \theta(j - n, k - l, l - j + n, n - k),$$

which is contained in $\{n\}! \{n - k\}! \mathcal{A} \subset \{n\} \mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 10.8 below. This completes the proof. \square

10.4. An integrality lemma. For $a, b, x, y \geq 0$, we set

$$\theta(a, b, x, y) = \psi(a, x, y) \begin{bmatrix} x+y \\ x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b+x+y \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2a+b+x+y \\ a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$$

where

$$\psi(a, x, y) = \frac{\{a+x+y\}!\{a\}!}{\{a+x\}!\{a+y\}!} \in \mathbb{Q}(v).$$

Lemma 10.8. *If $a, b, x, y \geq 0$, then $\theta(a, b, x, y) \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\phi_n \in \mathcal{A}$ denote the “balanced cyclotomic polynomial”

$$\phi_n = v^{-\deg \Phi_n(q)} \Phi_n(q),$$

where $\Phi_n(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is the n th cyclotomic polynomial. We have

$$\{n\}! = \prod_{d \geq 1} \phi_d^{\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{d \geq 1} \phi_d^{\lfloor \frac{m+n}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor}.$$

for $m, n \geq 0$. Here $\lfloor r \rfloor = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i \leq r\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Set for $a, b, x, y \geq 0$

$$\theta_d(a, b, x, y) = \psi_d(a, x, y) + \tau_d(x, y) + \tau_d(b, x+y) + \tau_d(a, a+b+x+y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_d(a, x, y) &= \lfloor \frac{a+x+y}{d} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{a}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{a+x}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{a+y}{d} \rfloor, \\ \tau_d(x, y) &= \lfloor \frac{x+y}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{x}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{y}{d} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\theta(a, b, x, y) = \prod_{d \geq 1} \phi_d^{\theta_d(a, b, x, y)}$$

It suffices to prove that if $d \geq 1$ and $a, b, x, y \geq 0$, then $\theta_d(a, b, x, y) \geq 0$. Suppose for contradiction that there are integers $d \geq 1$, $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ such that $\theta_d(a, b, x, y) < 0$. Since $\tau_d(r, s) \geq 0$ for all $r, s \geq 0$, we have

$$\psi_d(a, x, y) < 0. \quad (10.12)$$

Note that if $a', b', x', y' \geq 0$ are congruent mod d to a, b, c, d , respectively, then

$$\theta_d(a', b', x', y') = \theta_d(a, b, x, y), \quad \psi_d(a', x', y') = \psi_d(a, x, y), \quad \tau_d(x', y') = \tau_d(x, y).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \tilde{n} denote the unique integer $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that $m \equiv n \pmod{d}$. For an inequality P , let $[P]$ stand for 1 if P holds and 0 otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_d(a, x, y) &= \psi_d(\tilde{a}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a} + \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}}{d} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a}}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a} + \tilde{x}}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a} + \tilde{y}}{d} \rfloor \\ &= [d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}] + [2d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}] - [d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x}] - [d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{y}]. \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

Since either $d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x}$ or $d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{y}$ implies $d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}$, it follows from (10.12) and (10.13) that

$$\psi_d(a, x, y) = -1, \quad (10.14)$$

$$d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{x}, \quad d \leq \tilde{a} + \tilde{y}, \quad \tilde{a} + \tilde{x} + \tilde{y} < 2d. \quad (10.15)$$

By (10.14) and the assumption $\theta_d(a, b, x, y) < 0$, we have

$$\tau_d(x, y) = 0, \quad (10.16)$$

$$\tau_d(b, x + y) = 0, \quad (10.17)$$

$$\tau_d(a, a + b + x + y) = 0. \quad (10.18)$$

By (10.16), we have $0 = \tau_d(x, y) = \tau_d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = [d \leq \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}]$, and hence

$$\tilde{x} + \tilde{y} < d. \quad (10.19)$$

Set $z = x + y$. In view of (10.19), we have $\tilde{z} = \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}$. By (10.15), we have $2d - 2\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{x} + \tilde{y} < d$, and hence

$$2d - 2\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{z}. \quad (10.20)$$

By (10.17), we have $0 = \tau_d(b, z) = \tau_d(\tilde{b}, \tilde{z}) = [d \leq \tilde{b} + \tilde{z}]$, and hence

$$\tilde{b} + \tilde{z} < d \quad (10.21)$$

Set $w = b + z = b + x + y$. By (10.21), we have $\tilde{w} = \widetilde{b + z} = \tilde{b} + \tilde{z}$. By (10.20), we have $2d - 2\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{z} \leq \tilde{z} + \tilde{b} = \tilde{w}$, and hence $2d \leq 2\tilde{a} + \tilde{w}$. Therefore

$$\tau_d(a, a + w) = \tau_d(\tilde{a}, \tilde{a} + \tilde{w}) = \lfloor \frac{2\tilde{a} + \tilde{w}}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a} + \tilde{w}}{d} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a}}{d} \rfloor = 2 - \lfloor \frac{\tilde{a} + \tilde{w}}{d} \rfloor - 0 \geq 1,$$

which contradicts to (10.18). This completes the proof. \square

11. $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -GRADINGS IN v

The $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra U admits a “ $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -form” U_q , which is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -subalgebra of U generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , vE , and F , and inherits from U a Hopf $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra structure. We have the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra structure $U = U_q \oplus vU_q$. We will call this $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -grading the v -grading.

The \mathcal{A} -subalgebras $U_{\mathcal{A}}$, \mathcal{U} , and \overline{U} of U are homogeneous in the v -grading, and hence admits \mathcal{A}_q -forms $U_{\mathcal{A}_q} = U_{\mathcal{A}} \cap U_q$, $\mathcal{U}_q = \mathcal{U} \cap U_q$, and $\overline{U}_q = \overline{U} \cap U_q$, respectively. In particular, \mathcal{U}_q is the \mathcal{A}_q -subalgebra of \mathcal{U} generated by the elements K , K^{-1} , e , and the $v^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$, and inherits from \mathcal{U} a Hopf \mathcal{A}_q -algebra structure. The degree 0 part $\mathcal{G}_0\mathcal{U}_q$ of \mathcal{U}_q is generated by the elements K^2 , K^{-2} , e , and the $v^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}F^{(n)}K^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Since the ideals \mathcal{U}_n are homogeneous in the v -grading, the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ has a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded algebra structure $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q \oplus v\hat{\mathcal{U}}_q$ with $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_q = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / (\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_q)$. Similarly, $\check{\mathcal{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded with the degree 0 part being

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}_q = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / (\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_q)^n, \quad \check{\mathcal{U}}_q = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / \mathcal{U}_q e^n \mathcal{U}_q, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q = \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q).$$

Since the ideals \mathcal{U}_n , $(\mathcal{U}_1)^n$, and \mathcal{U}_n^e ($n \geq 0$) are homogeneous in the v -grading, the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$) has an $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_q$ - (resp. $\check{\mathcal{A}}_q$ -, \mathcal{A}_q -, \mathcal{A}_q -)form, which we will denote by $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_q$ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{U}}_q$, \mathcal{U}_q , $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q$). These algebras can be regarded as completions of \mathcal{U}_q :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / (\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_q), & \dot{\mathcal{U}}_q &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / (\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_q)^n, \\ \check{\mathcal{U}}_q &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{U}_q / \mathcal{U}_q e^n \mathcal{U}_q, & \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q &= \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 11.1. Each term of the quasi- R -matrix (1.2) is contained in $\mathcal{U}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q} \mathcal{U}_q \subset \mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}$. (Note that $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q} \mathcal{U}_q) \oplus v(\mathcal{U}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q} \mathcal{U}_q)$.) Therefore we can regard Θ as an element of the completed tensor product $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of two copies of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q$, and hence as an element of the image $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q = \text{Im}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q)$, where $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_q \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_q$ is the completed tensor product of two copies of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_q$.

The centers of \mathcal{U} , $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ are homogeneous in the v -grading, and we have versions of Theorems 9.2, 9.9, 9.13, 9.14, 9.15 for \mathcal{U}_q and its completions. In particular, we have the following, which we need in [5].

Theorem 11.2. *We have*

$$Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q) \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_q[vC]/(\sigma_n),$$

$$Z(\mathcal{G}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q) = \mathcal{G}_0 Z(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q) \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_q[C^2]/(\sigma_n).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, Quantum groups. In: *D-modules, representation theory, and quantum groups* (Venice, 1992), 31–140, Lecture Notes in Math., 1565, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] K. Habiro, On the quantum sl_2 invariants of knots and integral homology spheres. In: *Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds* (Kyoto, 2001), 55–68, Geometry & Topology Monographs **4**, 2002.
- [3] K. Habiro, Cyclotomic completions of polynomial rings, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40** (2004), 1127–1146.
- [4] K. Habiro, Bottom tangles and universal invariants, to appear in *Alg. Geom. Topol.*
- [5] K. Habiro, A unified Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for integral homology spheres, preprint math.GT/0605314.
- [6] K. Habiro and T. T. Q. Le, in preparation.
- [7] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [8] R. J. Lawrence, A universal link invariant. In: *The interface of mathematics and particle physics* (Oxford, 1988), 151–156, Inst. Math. Appl. Conf. Ser. New Ser., 24, Oxford Univ. Press, 1990.
- [9] G. Lusztig, Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras, *Adv. Math.* **70** (1988), no. 2, 237–249.
- [10] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Mathematics, 110, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [11] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Quasitriangle Hopf algebras and invariants of tangles (Russian), *Algebra Anal.* **1** (1989), no. 2, 169–188 ; English translation *Leningr. Math. J.* **1** (1990), no. 2, 491–513.
- [12] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **127** (1990), 1–26.
- [13] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups, *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), no. 3, 547–597.
- [14] T. Tanisaki, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms for quantum algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **127** (1990), no. 3, 555–571.
- [15] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), no. 3, 351–399.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: habiro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp